

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 21, 2001 LB 329

I think you ought to...I do think that you ought to rethink that because you still have an opportunity to go to the person that you made the commitment to and say, I've changed my mind. So I'm going to take a chance that you'll do that. I think the points that my colleagues have made, in fact, made them in better ways than what I could have done myself, are points that you should take to heart. Occupation taxes are indeed paid by someone. Occupation taxes are oftentimes paid by the persons who can least afford to pay them because they are like a sales tax. They're regressive like a sales tax. And the point that they may not know exactly who they're paying them to is absolutely valid. I look at the cell phone bill that I receive, and there's an occupation tax line on the cell phone bill. It doesn't say that it's an occupation tax to the city of Lincoln. It is. It says it's an occupation tax. I don't...if I didn't know enough about the tax structure, I wouldn't even know who to complain about that tax to. Now I could probably go without my cell phone. You could probably go without yours. So I don't want to get into a complaining match about occupation taxes on cell phones, but there are other people who can't do without their telephone and don't necessarily know where the money is going, and they sure as blazes probably don't know what it's going for, because they haven't been the ones that are able to keep track of the local municipal budgets to know where they're going. You all seem to be prepared to vote for Senator Bourne's amendment because you're in favor of flexibility. One of my colleagues came up to me and suggested, well, Senator Wickersham, what you ought to do to really drive home the point to your colleagues is offer an amendment to Senator Bourne's bill...or Senator Bourne's amendment, I'm sorry, to completely remove the lids, the spending lids, completely remove the spending lids. That's the ultimate in local discretion. Well, for those of us who have been here for a few years, we've kind of gotten our experience and our views of that kind of well in hand. That didn't seem to work. That's the situation that we confronted in 1996, 1997, 1998, when this Legislature started to make very hard decisions about how it was going to cause reductions in property taxes and control of spending in general. We made very hard decisions. I apologize to you now because we did not include the restrictions on occupation taxes in that package. We could have done it at that time because it was